THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.13529 of 2006

Dated: 06-07-2006

Between:

Lakshmi Narasimha Colony Welfare Association,
Rangareddy District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government,
Hyderabad and three others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S. RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.13529 of 2006

ORDER:

Lakshmi Narasimha Colony Welfare Association is the petitioner seeking a writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent not to dispossess its members from the land in survey Nos.11/6 and 11/7 admeasuring Acs.20.00 situated at Khanamet Village, Serilingampally Mandal of Rangareddy District, pending regularization of alienations made by the fourth respondent-Trust in their favour. The members of petitioner-association purchased house sites from the fourth respondent-Trust and later they formed into an association. When the department of endowments took action for eviction of the occupants, various writ petitions were filed and the same were initially dismissed. However in appeal, a Division Bench of this Court in **Secy. To Govt., Revenue (Endowments) Dept. v. S.S.A.C.H.S. Ltd.**[1], directed the Commissioner of Endowments to consider the applications of the petitioners for regularization. The Division Bench also observed as under.

> The writ petitioners, if they are so interested, may file appropriate applications before the Competent Authorities within eight weeks from today and in such an event the same shall be disposed of within four months from the date of filing of the same.
>
> During the pendency of consideration of the request, if any, to be made by the petitioners, by the Competent Authorities, the writ petitioners shall not make any construction of whatsoever nature and shall maintain *status quo* existing as on today in all respects. They shall not alienate or encumber the lands in their possession or any portion thereof in any manner whatsoever.

In view of the above observations, respondents are precluded from taking any adverse action against the members of the petitioner-association. This is not denied. Further this Court has already disposed of similar writ petitions, being W.P.No.11819 of 2006 by order dated 15-06-2006 and W.P.No.13114 of 2006 by order dated 29-06-2006.

The writ petition, with the above observations and following the decision of the Division Bench referred to hereinabove, is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

(**V.V.S.RAO, J**)

6th July, 2006

ghn

---

[1] 2003(6) ALD 225 (DB)